Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,018	OLSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Mackey	1722
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>James Mackey</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Donald Dowden</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 8 December 2006	Time: <u>4:00PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		e e
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none ·		
Claims discussed: 6 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
·		
	·	
Janus Mails		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant authorized correcting the recitation of --two of said pilot holes-- in claim 6, and authorized amending claim 19 as per the Examiner's Amendment to set forth a method of use of the mould assembly, since a recitation regarding the molded product does not further limit an apparatus claim.